# **Cooperative Perimeter Monitoring**

If the perimeter and number

consensus is achieved in 3T.

where T is the time required

for one MAV to traverse the

Based on consensus ideas.

of agents are fixed, then

perimeter.

**Proof:** 



**Objective:** Use a team of cooperatively monitor a fixed or dynamically changing border.

Principle Investigators: Randy Beard, Tim McLain

Sample Publication: David W. Casbeer, Derek B. Kingston, Randal W. Beard, Timothy W. McLain, Sai-Ming Li, Raman Mehra, "Cooperative Forest Fire Surveillance Using a Team of Small Unmanned Air Vehicles," *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 37, no. 6, May, 2006, p. 351-360.

### **Problem Summary**

A team of MAVs with flight durations of 1-2 hours, are tasked to cooperative monitor a perimeter. The communications range is limited and precludes constant communication. The perimeter may be changing as would be the case for a forest fire perimeter.





Possible applications include border patrol, fire surveillance, hazardous material monitoring

## **Results**

- Successfully flight tested in 2006-2007 using three MAVs.
- Robust with respect to wind.
- · Seamlessly handles vehicle insertions and deletions.
- Works for arbitrarily small communication radius.





Step Change

R. Beard

Research Overview

Guidance, Navigation, Vehicle Control

#### A .....

Funding Source: NASA.

Approach

Simple decentralized algorithm. Guaranteed optimal performance.

#### if rendezvous with neighbor then Theorem

- calculate shared border position

- travel with neighbor to shared border
- set direction to monitor own segment
- else if reached perimeter endpoint
  - reverse direction
- else

